

## **MINUTES OF THE HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMITTEE**

### **5<sup>th</sup> MEETING HELD ON 22<sup>nd</sup> FEBRUARY 2005 IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION**

#### **PRESENT :**

1. Shri B.S. Lalli  
Additional Secretary  
M/o Urban Development Chairman
2. Shri K.T. Gurmukhi  
Chief Planner, TCPO Member
3. Shri S.C. Bhatia  
Addl. DG(Arch), CPWD Member
4. Shri V.K. Bugga  
Chief Town Planner, MCD Member
5. Shri D.S. Meshram  
Urban Designer Member
7. Prof. A.G.K. Menon  
Conservation Architect Member
9. Shri Dina Nath  
Secretary, DUAC Member Secretary

#### **ALSO PRESENT :**

10. Shri J.B. Kshirsagar  
TCPO
11. Shri B.K. Jain  
Director (Plng.)DDA
12. Shri D.S. Sachdev  
CE (CDO), CPWD
13. Shri J.K. Sharma  
Dy. Chief Election Officer, Delhi

14. Shri S.P. Satsangi  
SA (SZ) DDA
15. Shri Surjeet  
Asstt. (Arch.) DDA
16. Shri Rajeev Sood  
Architect, NDMC
17. Shri G.K. Rao  
Dy. Architect, NDMC
18. Shri Mukesh Meena  
Executive Engineer PWD-III

**Sub : Restoration and Conservation of Old. St. Stephens College, Kashmere Gate.  
(File No.5(1)/2004-HCC)**

- (a) The proposal had been forwarded to the HCC by the Chief Town Planner MCD, which had been submitted to them by the PWD.
- (b) It had been considered at HCC meeting held on October 20, 2004.
- (c) As decided at the last meeting of the HCC held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2005 the proposal was taken up for consideration.
- (d) A Power-point presentation of the proposal was made by the DDA architects.
- (e) The HCC made the following observations :-
  1. The overall building would be restored to its original form. The plaster done at certain places on stone wall would be removed and the surfaces will be restored to their original.
  2. The details of the proposal in terms of the utilization of the building for the office of Chief Election Officer would be submitted to the HCC which should essentially include the flooring, provision of internal building services like electrical cables etc, details of glazing/glass proposed inside, landscape and parking plan, design of boundary wall etc.
  3. The HCC observed that in the first phase of the proposal the basic restoration works and demolition of the subsequently constructed blocks could be taken up.

Thereafter the detailed scheme as mentioned at para 2 above was required to be submitted to the HCC for consideration.

- (f) The scheme in principle was considered acceptable at conceptual stage with above observations.

**(Dina Nath)**  
**Member Secretary**

**HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMITTEE**

**C/o Delhi Urban Art Commission  
India Habitat Centre,  
Core 6A, Lodhi Road,  
New Delhi – 110 003.**

No.2(1)/2004-HCC

February 23, 2005

Copy :

The Chairman and Members of the Heritage Conservation Committee.

*IR/DUAC/23/2/05/24/2/05*